

Original Research Article

Change in Waist Circumference Over 11 Years and Current Waist Circumference Independently Predict Elevated CRP in Filipino Women

JULIENNE N. RUTHERFORD,^{1*} THOMAS W. McDADE,^{2,3} NANETTE R. LEE,⁴ LINDA S. ADAIR,⁵ AND CHRISTOPHER W. KUZAWA^{2,3}¹Department of Oral Biology, College of Dentistry, University of Illinois at Chicago, Chicago, Illinois²Department of Anthropology, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois³Cells to Society, The Center on Social Disparities and Health at the Institute for Policy Research, Northwestern University, Evanston, Illinois⁴Office of Population Studies Foundation, University of San Carlos, Cebu City, Philippines⁵Department of Nutrition, University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill, Chapel Hill, North Carolina

ABSTRACT C-reactive protein, a marker of chronic, low-grade inflammation, is strongly associated with current central adiposity, and has been linked to elevated risk of cardiovascular disease. Less is known about the contribution of longitudinal change in waist circumference to current inflammation. We evaluated the extent to which current waist circumference and change over an 11-year interval contribute independently to low-grade systemic inflammation measured in a group of 1,294 women, 35–69 years, participating in the Cebu Longitudinal Nutrition and Health Survey in the Philippines. Waist circumference was measured at the time of blood draw for CRP analysis in 2005 and during an earlier survey in 1994. A waist circumference delta variable was constructed by subtracting current circumference from past circumference. We used logistic regression models to predict having an elevated plasma CRP concentration ($3 \text{ mg L}^{-1} < \text{CRP} < 10 \text{ mg L}^{-1}$). Waist circumference in 2005 was a strong predictor of elevated CRP (OR 1.10, 95% CI = 1.08, 1.12, $P < 0.001$). In combined models, increase in circumference over 11 years was a significant and independent predictor of elevated CRP risk (OR = 1.023, 95% CI = 1.00, 1.05, $P < 0.05$). Considering the average increase over time, the cumulative risk of elevated CRP due to increased central adiposity was 25.7%. However, women who reduced their waist circumference between 1994 and 2005 had greatly reduced risk (6.2%), suggesting that even long-term inflammatory burden can be reversed by weight loss. Although current waist circumference is an important contributor to risk of elevated systemic inflammation in this as in other populations, history of central adiposity may be an independent phenomenon. *Am. J. Hum. Biol.* 22:310–315, 2010. © 2009 Wiley-Liss, Inc.

Visceral adipose tissue (VAT), measured indirectly by waist circumference, is metabolically and hormonally distinct from subcutaneous fat (Weiss, 2007) and is directly implicated in metabolic and cardiovascular disease (CVD) development (Schaffler et al., 2006; Wajchenberg et al., 2002). Visceral fat produces cytokines like TNF- α and IL-6 (Fain et al., 2004) which stimulate hepatic production of C-reactive protein (CRP). High-sensitivity CRP is a marker of chronic, low-grade inflammation that has been linked to elevated risk of CVD (Danesh et al., 2000), Type-2 diabetes (Pradhan et al., 2001), the metabolic syndrome (Ridker et al., 2003), late-life disability (Kuo et al., 2006), and mortality (Jenny et al., 2007). Weight, body mass index, and waist circumference tend to be among the strongest predictors of high CRP (Paniagua et al., 2008; Rexrode et al., 2003), but measures of visceral fat may be the best single anthropometric predictor of elevated CRP (Lee et al., 2008; Saijo et al., 2004).

Many short-term intervention studies, ranging from 6 to 24 months, have examined the relationship between weight loss and CRP (Heilbronn et al., 2001; Selvin et al., 2007). Relatively modest decreases (~5 cm) in waist circumference improve lipid profiles and blood pressure (Brochu et al., 2003) and lower serum adipocytokines including CRP (Esposito et al., 2004; Valsamakis et al., 2004). Reduction in CRP levels can be dramatic, reaching 32% in one recent study (Tchernof et al., 2002). These studies demonstrate a causal relationship between excess body weight, and more specifically, visceral fat, and elevated CRP and other CVD risk factors. It is presently less clear whether a chronic history of being overweight is important independent of one's current adiposity. It has been proposed that long-term weight excess can lead to

chronic dysregulation of physiologic systems, including inflammation (Seeman et al., 2001). Recent longitudinal studies have confirmed a linear relationship between increases in weight and CRP measured over 9 years (Fogarty et al., 2008), suggesting that long term weight profiles could be used to predict CRP prospectively. Barzilai et al. (2006) showed that elevated baseline CRP predicted weight gain over a 3-year period. One recent study found an association between prior adult weight gain and elevated CRP in a cohort of Japanese adults over 40 years old, independent of current weight (Saito et al., 2003). Considering the specificity of visceral fat in systemic inflammation related to cardiovascular and metabolic disease risk, it is unclear what role longitudinal profiles of waist circumference play in driving inflammation. To date, no studies have explored the specific role of an individual's history of central adiposity deposition as a predictor of later CRP.

All authors declare no conflict of interest.

Contact grant sponsor: National Institutes of Health; Contract grant numbers: R01 HL085144, 5 R01 TW05596; Contact grant sponsor: Interdisciplinary Obesity Center; Contract grant number: RR20649; Contact grant sponsor: Center for Environmental Health and Susceptibility; Contract grant number: ES10126.

*Correspondence to: Julianne N. Rutherford, Ph.D., Department of Oral Biology, College of Dentistry, University of Illinois at Chicago, 801 S. Paulina Street, Chicago, Illinois, USA. E-mail: rutherford4d@uic.edu

Received 12 April 2009; Revision received 27 July 2009; Accepted 30 July 2009

DOI 10.1002/ajhb.20986

Published online 23 October 2009 in Wiley InterScience (www.interscience.wiley.com).

The Philippines is a lower-middle income nation undergoing significant economic, dietary, and lifestyle changes, and it exemplifies current trends toward rising prevalence of overweight, cardiovascular disease, and the metabolic syndrome globally (Adair, 2004; Tanchoco et al., 2003). At the same time, infectious disease accounts for over 30% of all mortality in Southeast Asia (WHO, 2004), leading to a dual burden of proinflammatory stimuli (McDade et al., 2008). McDade et al. (2008) recently demonstrated that waist circumference was the strongest anthropometric predictor of elevated CRP in a cohort of women from Cebu, Philippines, but this was a cross-sectional analysis, thus leaving unknown whether it is merely waist circumference in the present, or an individual's chronic history of abdominal fat deposition, that is most predictive of inflammatory status. In hopes of clarifying these issues, the current study takes advantage of the prospective design of the Cebu Longitudinal Health and Nutrition Survey (CLHNS) to model longitudinal risk factors for elevated CRP among women in the Philippines with the aim of characterizing changes in waist circumference over an 11-year period, and the degree to which current waist circumference, and change in waist circumference during the past 11 years, are predictive of current CRP status.

METHODS

Participants and data collection

The Cebu Longitudinal Health and Nutrition Survey (CLHNS) began in 1983 with the recruitment of 3,327 pregnant women representative of the childbearing population in Cebu city. The women have been followed through several rounds of data collection every few years since 1983. Data for the current analyses of longitudinal adiposity come from 1994 and 2005. CRP was first measured in 2005, and we worked backward to 1994, to generate a timespan of approximately one decade. By 1994, all the women in the study were at least in their 20s to eliminate confounding due to growth-related effects on adiposity. Complete anthropometric and reproductive data from both 1994 and 2005 were available for 1,613 of the 1,892 women in which we had measured CRP in 2005. All data were collected under conditions of informed consent with institutional review board approval from the University of North Carolina, Chapel Hill.

Height and waist circumference were measured using standard anthropometric techniques (Lohman et al., 1988). Change in waist circumference was calculated as the difference between 1994 and 2005 circumference, and three change categories were constructed using ± 5 -cm cutoffs, with maintenance of a stable waist circumference being defined within 5 cm of baseline. The cutoff of 5 cm was chosen because this level of waist circumference reduction has been associated with improvement of cardiovascular disease risk factors and thus may have potential interventional value (Han et al., 1997). Categories of high and low waist circumference were determined by the mean ± 1 SD; a WC greater than the mean ± 1 SD in both 1994 and 2005 was considered to be a sustained history of high WC.

All other covariates were measured in 2005. The sample was divided into smokers and nonsmokers on the basis of self-report data on the number of cigarettes smoked per day. Percentage of fat in the diet was assessed by dietary recall questionnaires and then log-transformed for regres-

sion analysis. We constructed a continuous reproductive history variable that totaled the number of months spent pregnant during the 11-year interval. To assess household pathogenicity, we constructed a pathogen exposure scale based on five variables, each scored on a three point scale (0 = low exposure, 1 = moderate, 2 = high): cleanliness of the food preparation area, means of garbage disposal, presence of excrement near the house, and levels of garbage and excrement present in the neighborhood surrounding the household (McDade et al., 2008).

Women who reported infectious disease symptoms such as runny nose, cough, fever, diarrhea, sore throat, as well as the more general categories of "flu," "cold," and "sinusitis," at the time of blood draw in 2005 ($n = 267$) were excluded from the analyses since infection is associated with acutely elevated CRP levels. To further screen elevated CRP due to acute, rather than chronic, inflammation, 48 women with CRP levels >10 mg L⁻¹ were excluded. This cut point was selected based on recommendations issued by a recent joint scientific statement from the American Heart Association and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention (Pearson et al., 2003). Concentrations of CRP above 10 mg L⁻¹ are presumed to be the result of acute inflammatory processes (e.g., infectious disease), whereas elevations of CRP above 3 mg L⁻¹, but below 10 mg L⁻¹, indicate increased cardiovascular risk due to chronic, low-grade inflammation. Finally, four women who were pregnant at the time of data collection in either 1994 or 2005 were excluded, yielding a final sample of 1,294.

We evaluated how our sample differed from the original cohort as assessed when the study started in 1983. Compared to those lost to follow up, participants remaining in this study had significantly fewer years of education (mean (S.E.) difference: 0.26 (0.13) years), and lived in slightly more rural communities (2.5 (0.44) points on urbanicity scale). Participants did not differ with respect to age, height, weight, or BMI at baseline, household log income, or other household traits.

CRP analysis

Blood samples were collected using EDTA-coated vacutainer tubes in the participants' homes in the morning after an overnight fast. Blood samples were kept in coolers on ice packs for no more than 2 h and were then centrifuged to separate plasma prior to freezing at -70°C . Samples were express-shipped to Northwestern University on dry ice and stored frozen at -80°C until analysis. CRP concentrations were determined using a high sensitivity immunoturbidimetric method (Synchron LX20, lower detection limit: 0.1 mg L⁻¹) with between-assay % coefficients of variation less than 7.6 across the assay range (McWhorter et al., 2004).

Data analysis

Analyses of variance (ANOVA) and Pearson chi-square tests were used to compare household variables, anthropometric and other individual characteristics, and CRP levels across the three waist change categories (loss, stable, gain). We then performed a series of logistic regression analyses to predict the likelihood of CRP >3 mg L⁻¹. Logistic analyses proceeded in three stages. Our first model considered only current waist circumference and

TABLE 1. Characteristics of Filipino women across three categories of waist circumference change

	All women (<i>n</i> = 1,294)	Decreasing waist circumference (change >−5 cm) (<i>n</i> = 504)	Stable waist circumference (<i>n</i> = 386)	Increasing waist circumference (change >5 cm) (<i>n</i> = 404)	<i>P</i> -value
Age (years)	48.4 (6.1)	59.6 (6.2)	48.0 (5.8)	47.4 (5.9)	<0.001
Postmenopausal (%)	37.0	43.5	33.4	32.4	0.001
Smoker (%)	11.9	14.1	10.9	10.1	0.145
Log income (pesos)	6.0 (0.86)	6.0 (0.8)	6.0 (0.9)	6.0 (1.0)	0.446
Percent fat calories	15.2 (10.9)	14.7 (10.7)	15.1 (10.9)	16.1 (11.1)	0.148
Pathogen score	0.39	0.42 (0.41)	0.37 (0.40)	0.37 (0.40)	0.071
Anthropometric characteristics					
2005 height (cm)	150.7 (5.0)	150.4 (5.0)	150.8 (4.9)	150.9 (5.2)	0.257
1994 weight (kg)	52.5 (9.6)	52.8 (9.7)	52.1 (9.4)	52.6 (9.5)	0.578
2005 weight (kg)	55.5 (10.7)	51.9 (10.1)	55.5 (10.3)	59.9 (10.2)	<0.001
Weight Δ (kg)	2.7 (5.9)	−0.9 (4.9)	3.4 (4.3)	7.4 (4.9)	<0.001
1994 waist circum. (cm)	82.3 (10.1)	85.5 (10.1)	81.3 (9.6)	79.4 (9.6)	<0.001
2005 waist circum. (cm)	81.3 (10.7)	76.7 (9.7)	81.2 (9.6)	87.3 (10.1)	<0.001
Waist circum. Δ (cm)	−0.99 (8.0)	−8.8 (4.7)	0.04 (1.8)	9.7 (4.4)	<0.001
1994 BMI	23.1 (3.7)	23.3 (3.8)	22.9 (3.7)	23.1 (3.7)	0.232
1994 BMI category (%)					
(25–30)	24.3	26.4	20.7	25.2	0.357
(>30)	4.6	4.6	4.4	5.0	
2005 BMI	24.4 (4.3)	22.9 (4.0)	24.4 (4.1)	26.3 (4.0)	<0.001
2005 BMI category (%)					
(25–30)	33.2	25.0	31.1	45.5	<0.001
(>30)	9.6	4.6	9.6	15.8	
Median CRP(mg L ^{−1}) (25th, 75th percentile)	0.7 (0.2, 2.2)	0.6 (0.2, 1.7)	0.6 (0.2, 1.9)	1.1 (0.4, 2.8)	<0.001

height as predictors of elevated CRP (Model 1). This basic model was further adjusted by current household, individual, and behavioral factors including age, log income, household pathogenicity score, and smoking status (Models 2 and 3), factors known to influence CRP in this population (McDade et al., 2008), as well as pregnancy history and log percent fat in the diet. Finally, we considered combined models that simultaneously evaluated the effects of current waist circumference and change between 1994 and 2005 (Model 4).

The findings presented here were broadly corroborated by similar analyses using BMI and weight as predictors (Rutherford et al., unpublished analyses). However, given the stronger association between CRP and central adiposity in this cohort (McDade et al., 2008) and other studies (Lee et al., 2008; Saijo et al., 2004), we limit our analyses to waist circumference measures. Statistical analyses were conducted with Stata for Windows, version 10 IC (StataCorp, College Station, TX).

RESULTS

Baseline and current characteristics of women across waist circumference change categories

Approximately 30% of the women in the sample maintained a stable waist circumference (WC) over the 11-year period, as defined by a ± 5 -cm circumference change between 1994 and 2005 (Table 1). Thirty-nine percent of women had a reduced WC, while women who increased their WC comprised over 31% of the sample. The average change overall was -1 cm, with women who reduced WC losing an average of 8.8 cm, compared to women whose WC increased by an average of 9.7 cm.

Women who experienced a reduction in WC over the 11-year period were significantly lighter and had lower BMI in 2005, and lost more weight, than women whose waist increased in circumference. However, they started at higher WC at baseline than women who went on to

increase in WC. Women who experienced reductions in WC were significantly older and more likely to be postmenopausal in 2005 than were women whose waists increased in circumference. There were no significant differences across groups in log percent fat calories, pathogen score, or smoking status. Median CRP differed significantly across the three change groups, with women who had reduced their WC (who were also significantly lighter than the other groups in 2005) having the lowest current CRP levels and women whose waists increased (who were currently the heaviest women) having the highest levels.

Current predictors of elevated CRP

We next investigated the predictors of elevated CRP using multiple logistic regression (Table 2). In the base model including only anthropometrics and age (Model 1), WC and height were independent predictors of elevated CRP. WC was a robust predictor, with each increase of 1 cm associated with a 10% increase in the risk of elevated CRP. Each centimeter increase in height was associated with a 4% reduction in the probability of elevated CRP. The significant associations between height and WC and elevated CRP were maintained when household variables were considered simultaneously (Model 2), with increases in WC predicting a 9.9% increase in CRP risk. In addition to the anthropometric predictors, current household pathogenicity was associated with significant increases in the risk of elevated CRP. Neither reproductive history, measured by the total number of months spent pregnant during the study interval, nor household income contributed significantly to an increased likelihood of CRP >3 mg L^{−1}. Further adjustment for behaviors that could influence inflammatory status, including dietary fat intake and smoking status, did not change the relationship between anthropometric characteristics and CRP (Model 3). Fat intake was weakly associated with CRP risk, whereas smoking status was unrelated to CRP.

TABLE 2. Multiple logistic regression models predicting CRP >3 mg L⁻¹

	Model 1: (2005) anthropometrics	Model 2: (2005) adding household and intrinsic factors	Model 3: (2005) adding behavioral factors	Model 4: (2005) adding change in waist circumference
	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)	OR (95% CI)
2005 Waist circumference (cm)	1.100 (1.08, 1.12) ^{***}	1.099 (1.08, 1.12) ^{***}	1.097 (1.08, 1.12) ^{***}	1.106 (1.09–1.13) ^{***}
2005 Height (cm)	0.955 (0.92, 0.99) [†]	0.956 (0.93, 0.99) ^{**}	0.954 (0.92, 0.99) ^{**}	0.951 (0.92, 0.98) ^{**}
Months pregnant 1994–2005 (months)		0.979 (0.95, 1.01)	0.981 (0.96, 1.01)	0.981 (0.96, 1.01)
Pathogen score		1.756 (1.21, 2.56) ^{**}	1.799 (1.23, 2.63) ^{**}	1.763 (1.21, 2.58) ^{**}
Income (log pesos)		1.175 (0.97, 1.43) [†]	1.118 (0.92, 1.37)	1.106 (0.90, 1.35)
Dietary fat intake (log %)			1.239 (0.99, 1.56) ⁺⁺	1.232 (0.98, 1.55) ⁺⁺
Current smoker			0.767 (0.44, 1.33)	0.763 (0.98, 1.55)
1994–2005 Δ waist circumference (cm)				1.023 (1.00, 1.05) [*]
Model r ²	0.138	0.148	0.152	0.156

Models adjusted by age.

[†]P < 0.15.
⁺⁺P < 0.10.
^{*}P < 0.05.
^{**}P < 0.01.
^{***}P < 0.001.

We next considered whether there was an independent effect of longitudinal central adiposity on current CRP (Model 4). Adding the change variable to the model slightly strengthened the relationship between current waist circumference and CRP, increasing the risk of elevated CRP to 10.6%. In addition, increased WC over 11 years predicted current CRP independent of current WC (Model 4). Each centimeter increase in WC over the 11-year follow-up period was associated with a 2.3% increase in the probability of elevated CRP. As in previous models, pathogen score was a strong predictor of CRP >3 mg L⁻¹, with dietary fat being a weak predictor.

Once we generated odds ratios from the logistic models predicting the risk of elevated CRP, we wanted to determine the total risk associated with the average increase in waist circumference, which we illustrate below using an example of a WC increase of 3 cm from 85 to 88 cm. In linear regression the risk difference is the absolute change per unit increase in exposure and thus can be multiplied directly by the exposure variable (e.g., a risk difference of 2%/cm multiplied by an exposure variable of 3 cm = 6%). This is not the same with logistic regression, where the odds ratio is a relative measure of effect dependent on the reference category that is the odds associated with, in this case, 1-cm lower WC (e.g., odds for WC 85 = 0.20; odds for WC 86 = odds for WC 85 + [odds for WC 85 × 2%] = 0.2 + [0.20 × 2%] = 0.204; odds for WC 87 = odds for WC 86 + [odds for WC 86 × 2%] = 0.204 + [0.204 × 2%] = 0.2081; odds for WC 88 = odds for WC 87 + [odds for WC 87 × 2%] = 0.208 + [0.2081 × 2%] = 0.2122.) For a 3-cm increase from 85 to 88 cm, the odds increase from 0.20 to 0.2122 which is a 6.08% change. The *lincom* command in Stata applies the appropriate formula to calculate the total odds ratio associated with the average waist circumference increase. Based on an odds ratio of 1.023 (Table 2, Model 4) and an average increase of 9.7 cm (Table 1), the total risk due to waist increase was 25.7%.

Interactions between current and past adiposity as a predictor of CRP

Figure 1 presents the adjusted probabilities of elevated CRP as a result of the interactive effects of past and cur-




Fig. 1. Probability of elevated CRP in relation to past and current adiposity. Values are predicted probability of CRP > 3 mg L⁻¹ adjusted by variables listed in Table 2, Model 3, with upper bound of 95% CI. Low and high values for predictors were set as mean waist circumference ± 1 SD.

rent central adiposity. Women with high current WC generally had the highest probabilities of having elevated CRP. The highest probability was experienced by women who had high WC both in 1994 and 2005 (30.2%); this level of risk was slightly larger than that for women who had increased their WC since 1994 (25.5%). For women who were currently in the low WC category, the probability of elevated CRP was relatively low, regardless of past central adiposity. Women who had larger waistlines in 1994 that decreased over time had a slightly increased risk of CRP >3 mg L⁻¹ compared to women who had maintained a low level of central adiposity over the 11-year period (6.2% vs. 5.0%, respectively).

DISCUSSION

History of excess central adiposity is a significant predictor of elevated CRP in this sample of Filipino women independent of their current adiposity. Previous work in this cohort of women had shown that current WC was the

strongest anthropometric predictor of elevated CRP (McDade et al., 2008). The current study supports the hypothesis that it is not merely current WC, but also the long-term history of central adiposity that influences inflammatory status as reflected by CRP. The increased risk of elevated CRP was 2.3% per centimeter increase over the 11-year period. Considering the average increase over time was 9.7 cm, the average total risk of elevated CRP due to increased central adiposity was 25.7%. This is a substantial increase in the risk of developing chronic low-grade inflammation.

Current circumference and change in circumference over time were independent predictors of CRP when modeled simultaneously. These independent associations reflect separate phenomena. First, current central fat deposition, regardless of history, is a strong risk factor for elevated CRP. The probability of elevated CRP in women who have a high current WC is five times as high as that for women who are in the low WC category. Second, regardless of current central adiposity, increases in WC over time increase the risk of high CRP. It is important to note that the women in the sample most likely to have increased WC over the 11-year period started in 1994 with significantly smaller WC compared to women who maintained a steady WC (Table 1). This suggests that not only weight gain generally, but centile shifting in adiposity characteristics in particular may be an important screening tool (Liese et al., 2001). Further, overall risk was much higher for women who had increased their waistlines over time than for women who experienced a decrease in WC (25.5% vs. 6.2% respectively). A sustained history of high WC is associated with the greatest risk of elevated CRP (30.2%), with an 18% increase in the probability compared to women whose high WC is a more recent phenomenon.

The fact that women who decreased WC over the 11-year period significantly reduced their CRP risk suggests that while a history of sustained or increased central obesity may be an important risk factor, the long-term inflammatory effects of prior high circumference can be reversed. Prior studies in Western populations have shown that short-term weight loss programs are effective interventions for reducing CRP (Brochu et al., 2003). In this sample, reductions in WC were associated with the lowest median CRP levels. The finding that long-term central adiposity increases the probability of developing elevated CRP above the risk associated with current central adiposity in the Philippines suggests that screening for central obesity earlier in adulthood and implementing reduction interventions will have important long term protective consequences. This potential for earlier intervention may be particularly important in contexts like the Philippines, where pathogen burden partners with adiposity as a potent inflammatory agent (McDade et al., 2008, 2009).

Some Asian populations may be more likely to deposit fat centrally, independent of body mass index, thus placing them at higher risk for the development of cardiovascular and metabolic disease (Chandalia et al., 2003; Forouhi et al., 2001; Pi-Sunyer, 2004). At each level of body mass index, a sample of Filipino-American women had higher levels of visceral adipose tissue, as measured by computed tomography, than women of European or African descent (Araneta and Barrett-Connor, 2005). This significant difference in visceral adipose deposition is accom-

panied by higher triglycerides and higher ratio of total cholesterol to HDL cholesterol (Araneta and Barrett-Connor, 2004). The rise in the prevalence of obesity, cardiovascular disease, and the metabolic syndrome in the Philippines (Adair, 2004; Tanchoco et al., 2003) may be underscored in part by the longitudinal profiles of increasing individual central obesity and attendant rises in CRP in this study. At the same time, it is important to note that CRP levels among this population are much lower than those reported for European-Americans in various studies (Albert et al., 2004; McDade et al., 2008), perhaps reflecting population differences in the factors that influence CRP levels. We have previously shown in the younger generation at Cebu that exposure to inflammatory stimuli reflecting an unhygienic environment during infancy predicts a reduced risk of elevated CRP in early adulthood independent of adiposity (McDade et al., 2009), suggesting that an ecologically-focused life course approach will be helpful in clarifying population variation in CRP and its relationship to adiposity.

In summary, in this sample of Filipino women current waist circumference is associated with a high risk of elevated CRP, but increases over time pose an independent and cumulatively substantial risk. This risk is exacerbated for women who have had chronically high waist circumference compared to those experiencing more recent increases. However, the risk associated with a prior history of excessive central obesity may be reversible through weight loss.

ACKNOWLEDGMENT

JNR designed and conducted the data analysis and prepared the manuscript. TWM and NRL assisted with data analysis and manuscript preparation. LA and CK assisted with data analysis and manuscript preparation, and implemented data collection in the Philippines. The authors are grateful to the comments of two anonymous reviewers which led to a much-improved article; remaining errors are our own.

LITERATURE CITED

- Adair LS. 2004. Dramatic rise in overweight and obesity in adult Filipino women and risk of hypertension. *Obes Res* 12:1335–1341.
- Albert MA, Glynn RJ, Buring J, Ridker PM. 2004. C-reactive protein levels among women of various ethnic groups living in the United States (from the Women's Health Study). *Am J Cardiol* 93:1238–1242.
- Araneta MRG, Barrett-Connor E. 2004. Subclinical coronary atherosclerosis in asymptomatic Filipino and white women. *Circulation* 110:2817–2823.
- Araneta MRG, Barrett-Connor E. 2005. Ethnic differences in visceral adipose tissue and type 2 diabetes: Filipino, African-American, and White Women. *Obes Res* 13:1458–1465.
- Barzilay JI, Forsberg C, Heckbert SR, Cushman M, Newman AB. 2006. The association of markers of inflammation with weight change in older adults: the Cardiovascular Health Study. *Int J Obes* 30:1362–1367.
- Brochu M, Tchernof A, Turner AN, Ades PA, Poehlman ET. 2003. Is there a threshold of visceral fat loss that improves the metabolic profile in obese postmenopausal women? *Metabolism* 52:599–604.
- Chandalia M, Cabo-Chan AV Jr, Devaraj S, Jialal I, Grundy SM, Abate N. 2003. Elevated plasma high-sensitivity C-reactive protein concentrations in Asian Indians living in the United States. *J Clin Endocrinol Metab* 88:3773–3776.
- Danesh J, Whincup P, Walker M, Lennon L, Thomson A, Appleby P, Gallimore JR, Pepys MB. 2000. Low grade inflammation and coronary heart disease: prospective study and updated meta-analyses. *Br Med J* 321:199–204.
- Esposito K, Marfella R, Ciotola M, Di Palo C, Giugliano F, Giugliano G, D'Armiento M, D'Andrea F, Giugliano D. 2004. Effect of a Mediterranean-style diet on endothelial dysfunction and markers of vascular inflammation in the metabolic syndrome. *JAMA* 292:1440–1446.

- Fain JN, Madan AK, Hiler ML, Cheema P, Bahouth SW. 2004. Comparison of the release of adipokines by adipose tissue, adipose tissue matrix, and adipocytes from visceral and subcutaneous abdominal adipose tissues of obese humans. *Endocrinology* 145:2273–2282.
- Fogarty AW, Glancy C, Jones S, Lewis SA, McKeever TM, Britton JR. 2008. A prospective study of weight change and systemic inflammation over 9 years. *Am J Clin Nutr* 87:30–35.
- Forouhi NG, Sattar N, McKeigue PM. 2001. Relation of C-reactive protein to body fat distribution and features of the metabolic syndrome in Europeans and South Asians. *Int J Obes* 25:1327–1331.
- Han T, Richmond P, Avenell A, Lean M. 1997. Waist circumference reduction and cardiovascular benefits during weight loss in women. *Int J Obes* 21:127–134.
- Heilbronn LK, Noakes M, Clifton PM. 2001. Energy restriction and weight loss on very-low-fat diets reduce C-reactive protein concentrations in obese, healthy women. *Arteriosclerosis Thrombosis Vascular Biol* 21:968–970.
- Jenny NS, Yanez ND, Psaty BM, Kuller LH, Hirsch CH, Tracy RP. 2007. Inflammation biomarkers and near-term death in older men. *Am J Epidemiol* 165:684–695.
- Kuo H-K, Bean JF, Yen C-J, Leveille SG. 2006. Linking C-reactive protein to late-life disability in the National Health and Nutrition Examination Survey (NHANES) 1999–2002. *J Gerontol Ser A Biol Sci Med Sci* 61:380–387.
- Lee CMY, Huxley RR, Wildman RP, Woodward M. 2008. Indices of abdominal obesity are better discriminators of cardiovascular risk factors than BMI: a meta-analysis. *J Clin Epidemiol* 61:646–653.
- Liese AD, Doring A, Hense H-W, Keil U. 2001. Five year changes in waist circumference, body mass index and obesity in Augsburg, Germany. *Eur J Nutr* 40:282–288.
- Lohman TG, Roche AF, Martorell R. 1988. Anthropometric standardization reference manual. Champaign, IL: Human Kinetics Books.
- McDade T, Rutherford JN, Adair L, Kuzawa C. 2008. Adiposity and pathogen exposure predict C-reactive protein in Filipino women. *J Nutr* 138:2442–2447.
- McDade T, Rutherford JN, Adair L, Kuzawa C. 2009. Population differences in C-reactive protein concentration and associations with adiposity: comparing young adults in the Philippines and the US. *Am J Clin Nutr* 89:1237–1245.
- McWhorter VC, Ford LC, Butch AW. 2004. Analytical performance of the Synchron LX[®]20 Pro, BN(TM)II and IMMAGE[®] high sensitivity C-reactive protein assays and concordance in cardiovascular risk stratification. *Clin Chim Acta* 347:71–79.
- Paniagua L, Lohsoonthorn V, Lertmaharit S, Jiamjarasrangsi W, Williams MA. 2008. Comparison of waist circumference, body mass index, percent body fat and other measure of adiposity in identifying cardiovascular disease risks among Thai adults. *Obes Res Clin Pract* 2:215–223.
- Pearson TA, Mensah GA, Alexander RW, Anderson JL, Cannon RO, Criqui M, Fadl YY, Fortmann SP, Hong Y, Myers GL, Rifai N, Smith SC Jr, Taubert K, Tracy RP, Vinicor F, Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, American Heart Association. 2003. Markers of inflammation and cardiovascular disease: application to clinical and public health practice. *Circulation* 107:499–511.
- Pi-Sunyer FX. 2004. The epidemiology of central fat distribution in relation to disease. *Nutr Rev* 62(7,Suppl 2):S120–S126.
- Pradhan AD, Manson JE, Rifai N, Buring JE, Ridker PM. 2001. C-reactive protein, interleukin 6, and risk of developing type 2 diabetes mellitus. *J Am Med Assoc* 286:327–334.
- Rexrode KM, Pradhan A, Manson JE, Buring JE, Ridker PM. 2003. Relationship of total and abdominal adiposity with CRP and IL-6 in women. *Ann Epidemiol* 13:674–682.
- Ridker PM, Buring JE, Cook NR, Rifai N. 2003. C-reactive protein, the metabolic syndrome, and risk of incident cardiovascular events: an 8-year follow-up of 14 719 initially healthy American women. *Circulation* 107:391–397.
- Saijo Y, Kiyota N, Kawasaki Y, Miyazaki Y, Kashimura J, Fukuda M, Kishi R. 2004. Relationship between c-reactive protein and visceral adipose tissue in healthy Japanese subjects. *Diabetes Obes Metab* 6:249–258.
- Saito I, Yonemasu K, Inami F. 2003. Association of body mass index, body fat, and weight gain with inflammation markers among rural residents in Japan. *Circ J* 67:323–329.
- Schaffler A, Muller-Ladner U, Scholmerich J, Buchler C. 2006. Role of adipose tissue as an inflammatory organ in human diseases. *Endocr Rev* 27:449–467.
- Seeman TE, McEwan BS, Rowe JW, Singer BH. 2001. Allostatic load as a marker of cumulative biological risk: MacArthur studies of successful aging. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 98:4770–4775.
- Selvin E, Paynter NP, Erlinger TP. 2007. The effect of weight loss on c-reactive protein: a systematic review. *Arch Intl Med* 167:31–39.
- Tanchoco CC, Cruz AJ, Duante CA, Litonjua AD. 2003. Prevalence of metabolic syndrome among Filipino adults aged 20 years and over. *Asia Pac J Clin Nutr* 12:271–276.
- Tchernof A, Nolan A, Sites CK, Ades PA, Poehlman ET. 2002. Weight loss reduces C-reactive protein levels in obese postmenopausal women. *Circulation* 105:564–569.
- Valsamakis G, McTernan PG, Chetty R, Al Daghri N, Field A, Hanif W, Barnett AH, Kumar S. 2004. Modest weight loss and reduction in waist circumference after medical treatment are associated with favorable changes in serum adipocytokines. *Metabolism* 53:430–434.
- Wajchenberg BL, Giannella-Neto D, da Silva ME, Santos RF. 2002. Depot-specific hormonal characteristics of subcutaneous and visceral adipose tissue and their relation to the metabolic syndrome. *Horm Metab Res* 11/12:616–621.
- Weiss R. 2007. Fat distribution and storage: how much, where, and how? *Eur J Endocrinol* 157(Suppl 1):S39–S45.
- WHO. 2004. The World Health report 2004—changing history. World Health Organization.